

Setting the scene and outlining latest developments in UNFCCC negotiations

José Romero, PhD

Swiss Federal Office for the Environment FOEN 3003 Berne, Switzerland Tel. +41 31 322 68 62 Fax + 41 31 323 03 49 jose.romero@bafu.admin.ch http://www.bafu.admin.ch

WTO Public Forum 2011

Geneva, 21 Sept. 2011

Outline

- Background: Relevant Provisions of the UNFCCC on Technology, Trade and Intellectual Property
- The Bali Action Plan
- The Cancun Agreements
- The Technology Mechanism: TEC and CTCN
- Issues at stake
- Next steps



UN institutions for climate change



Bali Action Plan (BAP) 2007

The BAP includes five pillars:

- 1. Shared vision for long-term cooperative action
- 2. Enhanced national/international action on mitigation
- 3. Enhanced action on adaptation
- 4. Enhanced action on technology development and transfer to support action on mitigation and adaptation
- 5. Enhanced action on the provision of financial resources and investment to support action on mitigation and adaptation and technology cooperation

Cancún Agreements (CA) 2010 1/2

- 2 degrees stabilization objective (long term) and review of this goal in 2015
- 100 billion USD per year in 2020 for developing countries
- Enhanced transparency of actions by countries (Measurable, Reportable, Verifiable, MRV
- To develop new market and non-market mechanisms
- Institutional framework to support the implementation of the Bali Action Plan: mitigation, adaptation, technology, finance

Q

Cancún Agreements (CA) 2010

2/2

- Adaptation Framework and an Adaptation Committee
- Nationally Appropriate Mitigations Actions (NAMAs) by developing countries and a Registry for recognition of the NAMAs and their financing
- Green Climate Fund
- Technology Mechanism consisting of a Technology Executive Committee, a Climate Technology Centre and Network and regional technology centres

Divers and barriers of climate policy

The two main drivers / barriers for action are:

Costs

Technology

At the international level developing countries require assistance from developed countries

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and its Kyoto Protocol recognize the Common But Differentiated Principle (CBDR)

Current Experience on technology transfer under the UNFCCC

Experience has been gained on technology needs and on barriers and opportunities for technology transfer

Channels:

- Bilateral, ODA
- Global Environment Facility (GEF)
- UNDP, UNEP
- World Bank
- Regional Development Banks
- Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), Kyoto Protocol

The Technology Mechanism established by the Cancun Agreements

Consisting of:

- Technology Executive Committee (TEC): the policy and strategy arm of the Mechanism
- Climate Technology Centre and Network (CTCN): to provide direct support to technology actions in developing countries to address climate change
- The Technology Mechanism will help developing countries to prepare their Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMAs) and their National Adaptation Plans (NAPs)
- The Technology Mechanism will help to build technological capacity within developing countries

Financing climate policies

- In developed countries, the question on how to ensure the financing of climate policies is address in various ways: mainstreaming climate objectives in sectoral policies (e.g. energy, transport, etc.), using market mechanisms (e.g. EU ETS), and with fiscal instruments (carbon and energy taxes)
- In developing countries, lack of financing for climate policies is often an acute problem, but not the unique one. Lack of enabling environments to facilitate investment on climate-friendly policies and lack of legislation are also important issues for mitigation and adaptation.
- Under such conditions, technology transfer from developed countries is not facilitated

Barriers to technology transfer

The main barriers to technology transfer were (in decreasing order of importance):

- Economic/market
- Human
- Information/awareness
- Institutional
- Regulatory
- Policy-related
- Technical
- IPRs
- Other
- Infrastructure

International climate regime after 2012

A new institutional framework is required after 2012

Options may be:

- Continuation of the Kyoto Protocol
- An unique treaty including all major emitters (US, China, etc.) with differentiated reduction commitments and provisions for assistance for developing countries (finance, technology)
- A pledge-and-review system
- Voluntary Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMAs)
- Other

Position of the countries (1)

USA:

- Do not accept nor a reduction objective determined at the international level neither an international compliance regime
- Require strong review system of actions by countries (MRV); legally binding for the US = national legislation

China and emerging economies:

- Voluntary actions only
- Require the respect of their status of developing countries (CBDR) and require assistance (finance and thechnology)

Position of the countries (2)

European Union and like-minded (Switzerland, Norway, Iceland):

- Support legally binding commitments at the international level (Kyoto-like)
- Require involvement of the US and emerging economies

Japan, Russia, Australia:

 Reject the Kyoto Protocol and request a comprehensive treaty involving all major emitters (USA and China)

Alliance of Small Island States (AOSIS) and Least Developed Countries (LDCs)

Require strong climate objectives and assistance for adaptation

Swiss Position

Switzerland supports an international order based on law, in particular for the international climate change regime

We need a predictable, enforceable, efficient and fair regime

We support legally binding approaches (Kyoto-like)

We are EU-like-minded

Some web sites of interest

http://www.unfccc.int

http://www.ipcc.ch

http://www.bafu.admin.ch/

http://www.iisd.ca

Thank you for your attention