Chlh

11. 4			
1. Why trade facilitation? 2. Defining trade facilitation 3. Structure of the report 1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-************************************			
1. Why trade facilitation? 2. Defining trade facilitation 3. Structure of the report 1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-************************************			
1. Why trade facilitation? 2. Defining trade facilitation 3. Structure of the report 1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions		1 1 6 6 1 6 2014 6 1 2015	1
1. Why trade facilitation? 2. Defining trade facilitation 3. Structure of the report 1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions		1 2011 1 2011	
1. Why trade facilitation? 2. Defining trade facilitation 3. Structure of the report 1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions		11. 4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	3
2. Defining trade facilitation 3. Structure of the report 1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-4)	Ą	L	
1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-************************************		Why trade facilitation?	
1. Trade facilitation in the WTO 2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-************************************		2. Defining trade facilitation	
2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-4)		3. Structure of the report	
2. Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements 3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-4)			
3. Trade facilitation in other international organizations 4. Conclusions 2 (-************************************		Trade facilitation in the WTO	
4. Conclusions 2 (-軟) () () () () () () () () () (Trade facilitation in regional trade agreements	
	_		
2 3 A B B 8 4		4. Conclusions	
2 3 A B B S 4			
	_	5 (
53 3 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	_	2 3 A MA	
		53 3 A A A A A 38 A	
	-		

Acknowledgements

The O1 was prepared under the general responsibility of Xiaozhun Yi, WTO Deputy Director-General, and Robert Koopman, Director of the Economic Research and Statistics Division. This year the report was coordinated by Coleman Nee and Robert Teh. The authors of the report are Marc Auboin, Marc Bacchetta, Cosimo Beverelli, John Hancock, Christian Henn, Alexander Keck, Jose-Antonio Monteiro, Coleman Nee, Simon Neumueller, Roberta Piermartini and Robert Teh (Economic Research and Statistics Division); and Nora Neufeld (Market Access Division).

Part I of the report, on trade developments in 2014 and early 2015, was written by Coleman Nee, with statistical inputs provided by staff in the International Trade Statistics Section under the supervision of Andreas Maurer. Chahir Zaki, Lionel Fontagné, Gianluca Orefice, Nadia Rocha and Alvaro Espitia Rueda wrote background papers for Part II. Computable general equilibrium simulations were carried out in close collaboration with Lionel Fontagné of the Paris School of Economics (University Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne) and Jean Fouré of the Centre d'Études Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales (CEPII). Evdokia Moïsé and Silvia Sorescu of the OECD provided disaggregated estimates of trade cost reductions based on the OECD Trade Facilitation Indicators. Other written contributions were provided by Hubert Escaith, by Thomas Verbeet under the supervision of Jürgen Richtering, and by Sheri Rosenow. Research inputs were provided by Michela Esposito, Hyoungmin Han, Alexandre Lauwers, Etienne Michaud, Sebastien Peytrignet, Rohit Ticku, Matthias Van Den Heuvel and Luis Vivas. Additional charts and data were provided by Dolores Halloran (Market Access Divis

Foreword by the WTO Director-General

When WTO members concluded

to comment before entry into force of new/amended laws and regulations (Article 2), advance rulings (Article 3), procedures for appeal (Article 4), non-discrimination and transparency (Article 5), fees and charges (Article 6), the release and clearance of goods (Article 7), border agency cooperation (Article 8), the movement of goods (Article 9), import/export/transit formalities (Article 10), freedom of transit (Article 11) and customs cooperation (Article 12).

In order to make implementation practicable, the TFA takes a new and innovative approach to special and differential (S&D) treatment for developing and least-developed countries (LDCs).

The TFA introduces a category system, allowing each developing and least-developed member to self-determine when it will implement the respective provisions and what it needs in terms of related capacity-building support.

Category A contains provisions that developing and LDC members designate for implementation upon entry into force of the TFA (or within one year in the case of LDCs). Category B contains provisions that developing and LDC members will implement after a transition period following entry into force of the Agreement. Finally, Category C contains provisions that developing and LDC members will implement after a transition period "and requiring the acquisition of implementation capacity through the provision of assistance and support for capacity building."

Together with additional flexibilities, including the right of developing countries and LDCs to shift provisions from Category B to Category C, the TFA breaks new ground in its implementation philosophy, allowing members to tailor implementation to their particular circumstances.

With negotiations on the TFA concluded, the focus of members has now shifted to ratification and implementation.

Members have agreed on a road map for



, ALD AADEAE A 2015

for Customs Data and Management (ASYCUDA) aimed at speeding up customs clearance. Finally, numerous other organizations, like the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) have contributed to enhancing technical knowledge on customs measures by developing trade facilitation indicators and sharing research results.

11 .1 38

C.

agreement creates additional benefits compared to what can be achieved unilaterally.

It provides greater legal certainty to the changes in trade procedures. It helps in the adoption of common approaches to customs and related matters, which should increase the gains from trade facilitation by harmonizing customs procedures worldwide. By foreseeing that richer members will provide assistance and support for capacity building to developing and LDC members to help them implement the TFA, the Agreement helps to match the supply of capacity building with the demand for it. The TFA could also help governments address a credibility problem by integrating their trade facilitation commitments into an institution with an

The wider adoption of information communication technology and the automation of customs management are some of the most effective tools for facilitating trade and achievist

, វ LD វ ADE∤ E វ 2015

This

and practice, the administrative capacity to carry them out effectively may not be sufficient, thus creating a wedge between expectations and outcomes. Economic monitoring will enable problems that hinder developing countries and LDCs from acquiring implementation capacity to be quickly identified and solutions found. Ultimately, economic evaluation should give members a better picture of how the TFA is working to reduce trade costs and increase trade.

More data, particularly implementation costs, better $imdicatoos \overline{\!\! B\!\!\! D} uf \overline{\!\! B}$