



for patents that, in its judgement, meets the criteria of 'reasonable period of time' and 'unwarranted curtailment of the period of protection', and to claim that its term of protection is substantively 'equivalent' to the term of protection envisaged by Article 33. Obviously, this cannot be what the Members of the WTO envisaged in concluding the TRIPS Agreement. Our task is to interpret the covered agreements harmoniously. A harmonious interpretation of Article 33 and Article 62.2 must regard