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Mr. Chair, thank you for inviting me and giving the European Commission the opportunity to contribute to this debate.

Before entering into the topic of this morning, which is about monitoring Aid for Trade flows, I should like to stress that the whole exercise of monitoring any Aid for Trade indicators -at whatever level they may be- crucially depends on the involvement of both donor and partner countries. At the risk of restating the obvious, we need the full interest and participation of all those concerned to achieve success in our efforts on Aid for Trade.

The debate on the monitoring of Aid for Trade, at least since the last Global Review

As we all know, these decisions were taken after duly considering the advantages and disadvantages that they involve. For example, we know what the CRS system includes (such as the concessional part of loans) and what not (other loans). We know that the system operates on single codes per programmes. We know that our Aid for Trade reporting is, partly, based on proxies and will not provide scientifically exact figures.

However, it is not now the time for additional discussions on the data system or the reporting. We should rather concentrate on putting our decisions into practice, taking into account that some time may be necessary to adapt our internal systems and procedures.

Changing the system and the method for reporting inevitably creates problems of data comparability. Moving from the system we were using earlier - the flexible ad hoc Doha Database on Trade Capacity Building- to an already functioning system such as the CRS has meant we could not keep on accounting in the same way as we did before. However, sufficient flexibility has been created by way of the WTO/OECD questionnaire, as well as with the creation of an 'open' Aid for Trade category 6 on "Other trade-related needs". We consider that with these adjustments, we should be able to report coherently - also over time - on our pledge.

In addition, within the EU we have set up a working group to ensure a certain uniformity and coherence of reporting to the CRS between Member States and the European Commission, at least in the medium-term. We are still discussing ways of how to deal best with some problematic open issues, like capturing the Aid for Trade component within larger programmes, how to make best use of the Trade development marker now available, or how and what to report as "Other trade-related needs". We will keep our partners informed on progress we will make.

We are already actively using the Creditor Reporting System by the OECD, and feel reasonably satisfied with the adjustments that have been introduced. Inter alia, we hapw b7(hou)4.(1d)-6.ß.7(i)-6n)3(n- o)4.72 1 Tf9.y

