#### ANNEX D

## Request for Establishment of a Panel

	Page	
Annex D-1	Request for Establishment of a Panel	D-2

#### **ANNEX D-1**

### REQUEST FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF A PANEL

## WORLD TRADE

**ORGANIZATION** 

**WT/DS257/15** 4 January 2005

(05-0008)

Original: English

# UNITED STATES – FINAL COUNTERVAILING DUTY DETERMINATION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN SOFTWOOD LUMBER FROM CANADA

Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Canada

Request for the Establishment of a Panel

The following communication, dated 30 December 2004, from the delegation of Canada to the Chairperson of the Dispute Settlement Body, is circulated pursuant to Article 21.5 of the DSU.

On 17 February 2004, the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) adopted the Panel and Appellate

Shortly after adoption of the Panel and Appellate Body reports, the United States commenced implementation proceedings pursuant to section 129(b) of the *Uruguay Round Agreements Act* (*URAA*).<sup>4</sup> On 6 December 2004, Commerce released a countervailing duty determination pursuant to section 129 that announced results of its purported "pass-through" analysis. On 10 December 2004, in accordance with an instruction of that date from the US Trade Representative to implement the determination, Commerce issued a notice of implementation of its section 129 determination, in which it announced that the countervailing duty cash deposit rate would be reduced, effective 10 December 2004, by 0.17 (*i.e.*, from 18.79 per cent to 18.62 per cent).<sup>5</sup> On 20 December 2004, with the publication of the final results of the first administrative review of the countervailing duty order, Commerce established a definitive countervailing duty rate for the period of review and replaced the amended section 129 countervailing duty cash deposit rate with a new cash deposit rate without any "pass-through" analysis.<sup>6</sup> At the DSB meeting of 17 December 2004, the United States informed the DSB that it had complied with its rulings and recommendations.

Canada considers that the United States has failed to comply with the DSB's recommendations and rulings by incorrectly:

- limiting the category of transactions reviewed in the "pass-through" analysis to sales
  of logs by independent harvesters to unrelated sawmills, excluding transactions
  between harvesters/sawmills and unrelated sawmills, contrary to the DSB's
  recommendations and rulings;
- presuming, without an appropriate "pass-through" analysis, that certain transactions between independent harvesters and unrelated sawmills were not at arm's-length and that a "pass-through" of the alleged benefit occurred;
- applying the results of the "pass-through" analysis to a countervailing duty cash deposit rate invalidated as a result of judicial review proceedings conducted in accordance with US law, and failing to apply the results to a valid rate;<sup>7</sup> and
- failing to conduct a "pass-through" analysis in the final results of the first administrative review.<sup>8</sup>

Canada considers that the following measures allegedly taken by the United States to comply with the DSB's recommendations and rulings were inconsistent with US obligations under Articles 10 and 32.1 of the *SCM Agreement* and Article VI:3 of the *GATT 1994*:

Notice of Implementation Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act;
 Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Softwood Lumber Products from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 19 U.S.C. § 3538(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 129 Determination: Final Countervailing Duty Determination, Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada, 6 December 2004; Notice of Implementation Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act; Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada, 69 Fed. Reg. 75,305 (Dep't Commerce 16 December 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Notice of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Certain Company-Specific Reviews: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada, 69 Fed. Reg. 75,917 (Dep't Commerce 20 December 2004); and Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Results of Administrative Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada, December 13, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 129 Determination: Final Countervailing Duty Determination, Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada, 6 December 2004, Comment 6, at 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See e.g., Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Results of Administrative Review: Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada, 13 December 2004, at 43-48.