X. Findings and Conclusions

- 216. For the reasons set forth in this Report, the Appellate Body:
 - (a) in relation to causation:
 - (i) <u>finds</u> that there is no requirement to establish the existence of a causal link between likely dumping and likely injury, as a matter of legal obligation, in a sunset review determination under Article 11.3 of the *Anti-Dumping Agreement* and that, therefore, the USITC was not required to demonstrate such a link in making its likelihood-of-injury determination in the sunset review at issue in this dispute; and
 - (ii) <u>finds</u> that the Panel did not act inconsistently with Article 11 of the DSU in its assessment of Mexico's arguments in this regard;
 - (b) in relation to cumulation:
 - (i) <u>upholds</u> the Panel's findings, in paragraphs

Signed in the original in Geneva this 18th day of October 2005 by:					
	A.V. Ganesan				
	Presiding Member				
	residing Wember				
John Lockhar	t	Yasuhei Taniguchi			
John Lockhar Member	t	Yasuhei Taniguchi Member			