

ANNEX A

REQUEST FOR CONSULTATIONS AND REQUEST FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PANEL

Contents		Page
Annex A-1	Request for Consultations by the European Communities	A-2
Annex A-2	Request for the Establishment of a Panel by the European Communities	A-29

ANNEX A-1

REQUEST FOR CONSULTATIONS BY THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

**WORLD TRADE
ORGANIZATION**

**WT/DS294/1
G/L/630
G/ADP/D49/1
19 June 2003
(03-3263)**

Original: English

UNITED STATES – LAWS, REGULATIONS AND METHODOLOGY

- the methodology of the DOC for determining the dumping margin in new investigations on the basis of the comparison of a weighted average normal value with a weighted average export price,
- the methodology of the DOC for determining the dumping margin in reviews,
- the determinations of dumping by the DOC, the determination of injury by the United States International Trade Commission and the DOC notice for the imposition of the anti-dumping duty in the cases listed in Annex I,

**ANNEX I
IMPOSITION OF ANTI-DUMPING DUTY**

Product	MS	DOC Case Number	DOC Final Determination	ITC Case Number	ITC Determination	AD Order
1. Certain hot-rolled carbon steel	NL	A-421-807	66 FR 50408, October 3, 2001 (amended: 66 FR 55637, November 2, 2001)	A-903	November 2001	66 FR 59565, November 29, 2001
2. Stainless steel bar	F	A-427-820	67 FR 3143, January 23, 2002	A-913	February 2002	67 FR 10385, March 7, 2002
3. Stainless steel bar	G	A-428-830	67 FR 3159, January 23, 2002 (amended: 67 FR 10382, March 7, 2002)	A-914	February 2002	67 FR 10382, March 7, 2002
4. Stainless steel bar	I	A-475-829	67 FR 3155, January 23, 2002 (amended: 67 FR 8228, February 22, 2002)	A-915	February 2002	67 FR 10384, March 7, 2002
5. Stainless steel bar	UK	A-412-822	67 FR 3146, January 23, 2002	A-918	February 2002	67 FR 10381, March 7, 2002

- of 15.40% for EWK. Without the zeroing methodology the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).
- of 32.32% for KEP. Without the zeroing methodology the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation of stainless steel bar from the United Kingdom, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 4.48% for Corus Engineering Steels, Ltd while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping

**ANNEX II
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWS**

Product

coils			December 26, 2002	January 28, 2003			
17. Stainless steel sheet strip coils	G	A-428-825	67 FR 7668, February 20, 2002	67 FR 15178, March 29, 2002	KTN	2.61%	4 January 99-30 June 00
18. Stainless steel sheet strip coils	G	A-428-825	68 FR 6716, February 10, 2003		TKN	4.77%	1 July 00-30 June 01
19. Ball bearings	F	A-427-801	67 FR 55780, August 30, 2002		SKF France SA and Sarma	8.51%	1 May 00-30 April 01
20. Ball bearings	I	A-475-801	67 FR 55780, August 30, 2002		FAG Italia SpA SKF Industrie SpA	1.42% 3.70%	1 May 00-30 April 01
21. Ball bearings	UK	A-412-801	67 FR 55780, August 30, 2002		NSK Bearings Europe Ltd The Barden Corporation UK	16.87% 3.87%	1 May 00-30 April 01

*United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Industrial Nitrocellulose from France*

Specific Case No.6

The administrative review

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom***

Specific Case No.7

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom, produced and exported by Imperial Chemical Industries PLC. (US case number A-412-803, 67 FR 77747 of 19 December 2002). The period of review is 1 July 2000 through 30 June 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Imperial Chemical Industries was 3.06% *ad valorem*.

Methodology

The practice of "zeroing", as applied in this case by the DOC, is the same as the practice described under Specific Case No. 6.

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 3.06% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would

Dumping margin without zeroing

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 5.84% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- ...%] (negative).

***United States – Anti-dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy***

Specific Case No.13

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene resin from Italy, produced and exported by Ausimont SpA. (US case number A-475-703, 67 FR 1960 of 15 January 2002). The period of review is 1 August 1999 through 31 July 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Ausimont SpA SA was 2.15% *ad valorem*.

Methodology

The practice of "zeroing", as applied in this case by the DOC, is the same as the practice described under Specific Case No. 6.

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 2.15% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy***

Specific Case No.14

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy, produced and exported by Ausimont SpA. (US case number A-475-703, 68 FR 2007 of 15 January 2003). The period of review is 1 August 2000 through 31 July 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Ausimont SpA SA was 12.08% *ad valorem*.

Methodology

The practice of "zeroing", as applied in this case by the DOC, is the same as the practice described under Specific Case No. 6.

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 12.08% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 1.44% while without

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 4.77% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Ball Bearings from France***

Specific Case No.19

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Ball Bearings from France, produced and exported by SKF France SA and Sarma (US case number A-427-801, 67 FR 55780 of 30 August 2002). The period of review is 1 May 2000 through 30 April 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for SKF France SA and Sarma was 8.51% *ad valorem*.

Methodology

The practice of "zeroing", as applied in this case by the DOC, is the same as the practice described under Specific Case No. 6.

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 8.51% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Ball Bearings from Italy***

Specific Case No.20

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Ball Bearings from Italy, produced and exported by SKF Industrie SpA and FAG Italia SpA (US case number A-475-801, 67 FR 55780 of 30 August 2002). The period of review is 1 May 2000 through 30 April 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty was, *ad valorem*, 3.70% for SKF Industrie SpA and 1.42% for FAG Italia SpA

Methodology

Dumping margin without zeroing

By using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin for SKF Industrie SpA

- (2) the impact of zeroing negative dumping margins in the determination of the "dumped imports" in the injury investigation;
- (3) the determination of dumping margins above *de minimis* level as a result of zeroing negative dumping margins and the consequent imposition of an anti-dumping duty;
- (4) the level of the dumping margins in the absence of zeroing in the cases listed in Annex.

The EC is concerned that the determinations in the listed cases appear to be, in several respects, not in conformity with the obligations of the United States under the GATT, and the Agreement on implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (the "ADA"), in particular under:

- Articles 1, 2.4 (including 2.4.2), 3, 5.8 and 18.3 of the ADA,
- Articles VI:1 and VI:2 of the GATT,
- Article XVI:4 of the Marrakech Agreement establishing the WTO and Article 18.4 of the ADA.

We reserve the right to raise additional claims and legal matters regarding the legislation and practice during the course of the consultations.

We look forward to receiving your reply to this request and to fixing a mutually acceptable date for consultations.

**ANNEX 1
ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES**

Product	MS	DOC Case Number	DO	Final Determination	ITC Case Number	ITC Determination
---------	----	-----------------	----	---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden

Specific Case No. 22

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden (US case number A-401-806, 63 FR 49329 of 15 September 1998). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 5.71% for Fagersta Stainless AB and all others.

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation of Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Sweden, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 5.71% for Fagersta Stainless AB while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-...%] (negative) and the case would have been terminated with respect to this exporter.

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain

Specific Case No. 23

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain (US case number A-469-807, 63 FR 49330 of 15 September 1998). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 4.73% for Roldán SA and all others.

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation of Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Spain, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 4.73% for Roldán SA while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower)

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy

Specific Case No. 24

The measure

***United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils
from France***

Specific Case No. 26

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France (US case number A-427-814, 64 FR 40562 of 27 July 1999). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 9.38% for Usinor and all others.

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 9.38% for Usinor while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower)

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the UK

Specific Case No. 28

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the UK (US case number A-412-818, 64 FR 40555 of 27 July 1999). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 14.84% for Avesta Sheffield and all others.

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the UK, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 14.84% for Avesta Sheffield while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower)

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France

Specific Case No. 29

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France (US case number A-272-868, 54 FR 11211 of 6 October 1989). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 0% for Usifor and all others. 11% France Case number

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Italy

Specific Case No. 30

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Italy (US case number A-475-826, 65 FR 6585 of 10 February 2000). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 7.85% for Palini and Bertoli SpA and all others.

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation of Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Italy, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 7.85% for Palini and Bertoli SpA while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower)

United States- Anti-dumping duties on Imports of Certain Pasta from Italy

Specific Case No. 31

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of Anti-dumping duties on Certain Pasta from Italy (US case number A-475-818, 61 FR 38547 of 24 July 1996). The rates of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty were 21.34% for Italtasta , 14.78% for La Molisana, 12.41% for Liguori, 18.30% for Pagani and 12.09% for all others.

Use of zeroing

In the United States Department of Commerce's investigation Certain Pasta from Italy, the Department of Commerce (DOC) utilised the same "zeroing" methodology as described under Specific Case No. 1.

Dumping margin without zeroing

In particular, by using the above methodology, DOC calculated a dumping margin:

- of 21.34% for Italtasta . Without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).

- of 14.78% for La Molisana. Without the zeroing methodology, the dumping margin would have been of [..%] (lower)

- of 12.41% for Liguori. Without the zeroing methodology the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).

- of 18.30% for Pagani. Without the zeroing methodology the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).

2. Summary of facts

In anti-dumping proceedings the United States uses the following methodologies to establish the dumping margin.

In original investigations, the United States identifies sub-groups of products within the product under investigation ("averaging groups") on a per model basis as well as on the basis of other criteria such as the level of trade. Within each of the averaging groups, a weighted average export price is established and compared to the corresponding weighted average normal value. The results of these comparisons on an "averaging group" basis are added up to establish the dumping margin of the product under investigation as a whole; however, in this process, any negative margins or amounts of "dumping" resulting from the comparison of weighted average normal values with weighted normal values are not taken into account.

margin in original investigations and review investigations are inconsistent with several provisions of the *AD Agreement*, GATT 1994 and the Marrakesh Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization, for the following reasons:

- (a) in original investigations, the United States puts at zero the negative margins or amounts of "dumping" resulting from the comparison of weighted average normal values with weighted average export prices within the averaging groups. As a result, the United States calculates a margin and amount of dumping in excess of the actual dumping practised by the companies concerned. This constitutes a violation of:
- Articles 2.4³ and 2.4.2⁴ of the *AD Agreement* insofar as the comparison made by the United States is inconsistent with those provisions;
 - Article 5.8 of the *AD Agreement* insofar as a *de minimis* dumping margin is erroneously determined to be not *de minimis*;
 - Articles 9.1 and 9.3 of the *AD Agreement* insofar as there is as a result the imposition and collection of an anti-dumping duty in excess of the margin or amount of dumping as determined pursuant to Article 2 of the *AD Agreement*;
 - Articles 1 and 2.1 of the *AD Agreement* and Articles VI:1 and VI:2 of the GATT 1994 insofar as there is as a result the imposition and collection of an anti-dumping duty which is inconsistent with the *AD Agreement*; and consequently of
 - Article XVI:4 of the Marrakesh Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization and Article 18.4 of the *AD Agreement* insofar as the United States has not taken all necessary steps, of a general or particular character, to ensure the2 dinneral or
€

- Articles 9.5 and 11 (including Articles 11.2 and 11.3) of the *AD Agreement* insofar as the determinations of dumping in review investigations contemplated by these provisions are not made in compliance with Articles 2.4 and 2.4.2 of the *AD Agreement*;
- Articles 1 and 2.1 of the *AD Agreement* and Articles VI:1 and VI:2 of the GATT 1994 insofar as there is as a result the imposition and collection of an anti-dumping duty which is inconsistent with the *AD Agreement*; and consequently of
- Article XVI:4 of the Marrakesh Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization and Article 18.4 of the *AD Agreement* insofar as the United States has not taken all necessary steps, of a general or

4. Request

The European Communities hereby respectfully requests that a panel be established, with the standard terms of reference, by the Dispute Settlement Body pursuant to Articles 4.7 and 6 of the DSU, Article XXIII of GATT 1994, and Article 17.4 the *AD Agreement*. The European Communities asks that this request be placed on the agenda of the meeting of the Dispute Settlement Body on 17 February 2004.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Certain Hot-Rolled
Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands***

Specific Case No. 1

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dumping duties on Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat products from the Netherlands (US case number A-421-807, 66 FR 59565 of 29 November 2001). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 2.59% for Corus Staal BV and all others.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 2.59% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- ..%] (negative) and the case would have been terminated.

United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Bar from France

Specific Case No. 2

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Bar from France (US case number A-427-820, 67 FR 10385 of 7 March 2002). The rates of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty were 71.83% for Aubert & Duval S.A, 3.90% for Ugine-Savoie Imphy and all others.

Dumping margin without zeroing

without the

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin:

- of 13.63% for BGH. Without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).
- of 4.17% for Einsal. Without the zeroing methodology, the dumping margin would have been of [..%] (*de minimis*) and the case would have been terminated with respect to this exporter

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 4.73% for Roldán SA while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

*United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Wire Rod
from Italy*

Specific Case No. 8

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from

United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France

Specific Case No. 10

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France (US case number A-427-814, 64 FR 40562 of 27 July 1999). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 9.38% for Usinor and all others.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 9.38% for Usinor while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy

Specific Case No. 11

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy (US case number A-475-824, 64 FR 40567 of 27 July 1999). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 11.23% for Acciai Spaciali Terni SpA and all others.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 11.23% for Acciai Spaciali Terni SpA while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).

United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the UK

Specific Case No. 12

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the UK (US case number A-412-818, 64 FR 40555 of 27 July 1999). The rate of the *ad valorem* anti-dumping duty was 14.84% for Avesta Sheffield and all others.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 14.84% for Avesta Sheffield while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [..%] (lower).

***United States – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Certain Cut-to-Length
Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France***

Specific Case No. 13

The measure

This case concerns the imposition of anti-dum

**ANNEX II
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWS**

Product	MS	No Case	Final Results	Amended Final Results	Company	Dumping Margin	Period covered by the Review
16. Industrial Nitrocellulose	F	A-427-009	66 FR 54213, October 26, 2001		Bergerac NC	3.26%	1 August 99-31 July 00
17. Industrial Nitrocellulose	UK	A-412-803	67 FR 77747, December 19, 2002	(t0 0.0004 Tc0 Tw(N)Tj80trial)5.226.7riarip 5 200			

**ANNEX II
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWS**

Product	MS	No Case	Final Results	Amended Final Results	Company	Dumping Margin	Period covered by the Review
26. Stainless steel sheet strip coils	F	A-427-814	67 FR 78773, December 26, 2002	68 FR 4171, January 28, 2003	Ugine	1.44%	1 July 00-30 June 01
27. Stainless steel sheet strip coils	G	A-428-825	67 FR 7668, February 20, 2002	67 FR 15178, March 29, 2002	KTN	2.61%	4 January 99-30 June 00
28. Stainless steel sheet strip coils	G	A-428-825	68 FR 6716, February 10, 2003	68 FR 14193, March 24, 2003	TKN	4.74%	1 July 00-30 June 01
29. Ball bearings	F	A-427-801	67 FR 55780, August 30, 2002		SKF France SA and Sarma	8.51%	1 May 00-30 April 01
30. Ball bearings	I	A-475-801	67 FR 55780, August 30, 2002		FAG Italia SpA SKF Industrie SpA	1.42% 3.70%	1 May 00-30 April 01
31. Ball bearings	UK	A-412-801	67 FR 55780, August 30, 2002		NSK Bearings Europe Ltd The Barden Corporation UK	16.87% 3.87%	1 May 00-30 April 01

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Industrial Nitrocellulose from France***

Specific Case No. 16

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Industrial Nitrocellulose from France, produced and exported by Bergerac NC. (US case number A-427-009, 66 FR 54213 of 26 October 2001). The period of review is 1 August 1999 through 31 July 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Bergerac NC was 3.26% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 3.26% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom***

Specific Case No. 17

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Industrial Nitrocellulose from the United Kingdom, produced and exported by Imperial Chemical Industries PLC. (US case number A-412-803, 67 FR 77747 of 19 December 2002). The period of review is 1 July 2000 through 30 June 2001 and the rate of an

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 3.84% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- .%.] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Certain Pasta from Italy

Specific Case No. 19

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Certain Pasta from Italy, produced and exported by Pastificio Guido Ferrara S.r.L. (Ferrara), Pastificio Antonio Pallante S.r.L. (Pallante) and PAM S.r.L. (PAM). (US case number A-475-818, 66 FR 300 of 3 January 2002, 67 FR 5088 of 4 February 2002). The period of review is 1 July 1999 through 30 June 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty was, *ad valorem*, 1.25% for Ferrara, 1.78% for Pallante, 4.10% for PAM.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated for Ferrara a dumping margin of 1.25% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

As for Pallante, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 1.78%, while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

As for PAM, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 4.10%, while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been *de minimis*.

United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Certain Pasta from Italy

Specific Case No. 20

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Certain Pasta from Italy, produced and exported by Pastificio Garofalo S.p.A. (US case number A-475-818, 68 FR 6882 of 11 February 2003). The period of review is 1 July 2000 through 30 June 2001 and the rate of anti-

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Stainless Steel Sheet Strip in Coils from Italy***

Specific Case No. 21

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet Strip in Coils from Italy, produced and exported by Acciai Speciali Terni SpA (US case number A-475-824, 67 FR 1715 of 14 January 2002). The period of review is 4 January 1999 through 30 June 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Acciai Speciali Terni SpA was 0.66% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 0.66% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-...%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Stainless Steel Sheet Strip in Coils from Italy***

Specific Case No. 22

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet Strip in Coils from Italy, produced and exported by Acciai Speciali Terni SpA (US case number A-475-824, 68 FR 6719 of 10 February 2003). The period of review is 1 July 2000 through 30 June 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Acciai Speciali Terni SpA was 5.84% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 5.84% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- ...%] (negative).

***United States – Anti-dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy***

Specific Case No. 23

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene resin from Italy, produced and exported by Ausimont SpA. (US case number A-475-703, 67 FR 1960 of 15 January 2002). The period of review is 1 August 1999 through 31 July 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Ausimont SpA SA was 2.15% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 2.15% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy***

Specific Case No. 24

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy, produced and exported by Ausimont SpA. (US case number A-475-703, 68 FR 2007 of 15 January 2003). The period of review is 1 August 2000 through 31 July 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Ausimont SpA SA was 12.08% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 12.08% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [...%] (lower).

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France***

Specific Case No. 25

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France, produced and exported by Ugine (US case number A-427-814, 67 FR 6493 of 12 February 2002, 67 FR 12522 of 19 March 2002). The period of review is 4 January 1999 through 30 June 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Ugine was 3.00% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 3.00% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- ...%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France***

Specific Case No. 26

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from France, produced and exported by Ugine SA (US case number A-427-814, 67 FR 78773 of 26 December 2002, 68 FR 4171 of 28 January 2003). The period of review is 1 July 2000 through 30 June 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for Ugine SA was 1.44% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 1.44% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- ...%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany***

Specific Case No. 27

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany, produced and exported by KTN (US case number A-428-825, 67 FR 7668 of 20 February 2002, 67 FR 15178 of 29 March 2002). The period of review is 4 January 1999 through 30 June 2000 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for KTN was 2.61% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 2.61% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [- ..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany***

Specific Case No. 28

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Germany, produced and exported by TKN (US case number A-428-825, 68 FR 6716, February 10, 2003, 68 FR 14193, March 24, 2003). The period of review is 1 July 2000 through 30 June 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for TKN was 4.74% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 4.74% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Ball Bearings from France***

Specific Case No. 29

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Ball Bearings from France, produced and exported by SKF France SA and Sarma (US case number A-427-801, 67 FR 55780 of 30 August 2002). The period of review is 1 May 2000 through 30 April 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty for SKF France SA and Sarma was 8.51% *ad valorem*.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin of 8.51% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

***United States – Anti-Dumping Administrative Review on Imports
of Ball Bearings from Italy***

Specific Case No. 30

The administrative review

This case concerns the administrative review of anti-dumping duties on Ball Bearings from Italy, produced and exported by SKF Industrie SpA and FAG Italia SpA (US case number A-475-801, 67 FR 55780 of 30 August 2002). The period of review is 1 May 2000 through 30 April 2001 and the rate of anti-dumping duty was, *ad valorem*, 3.70% for SKF Industrie SpA and 1.42% for FAG Italia SpA.

Dumping margin without zeroing

DOC calculated a dumping margin for SKF Industrie SpA of 3.70% while without the zeroing methodology (i.e. with the negative unit margins included), the dumping margin would have been [-..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

As for FAG Italia SpA, DOC calculated a dumping margin of 1.42% while without the zeroing methodology the dumping margin would have been [-..%] (negative) and no anti-dumping duty would have been collected.

