- VII. Findings and Conclusions in the Appellate Body Report WT/DS403/AB/R
- 261. In the appeal of the Panel Reportilippines Taxes on Distilled Spirits (complaint by the United StatesWT/DS403/R) (the "US Panel Report"), for reasons set out in this Report, the Appellate Body:
 - (a) with respect to Article III:2, first sentence, of the GATT 1994:
 - (i) upholds the Panel's finding, in paragh 7.85 of the US Panel Report, that each type of imported distilled spirit issue in this dispute—gin, brandy, vodka, whisky, and tequila—made fromon-designated raw materials is "like" the same type of domestics dilled spirit made from designated raw materials, within the meaning of Made III:2, first sentence, of the GATT 1994;
 - (ii) <u>finds</u> that the Panel did not act <u>immosistently</u> with Article 11 of the DSU in its evaluations of the products' physical aracteristics, of the Philippine market for distilled spirits, and of tariff classification;
 - (iii) upholds, as a consequence, the Parliedbing, in paragraph \$\overline{8}\$.90 and 8.2(a) of the US Panel Report, that the Philippines has acted inconsistently with

 \$\overline{8}\$Aritte 119.24; in the constant of the CDTT(in 19 are properties) and the constant of the

a)

- second sentence, of the GATT 1994, <u>and finds</u> that the Panel did not act inconsistently with Article 11 of the DSU in reaching this finding;
- (ii) upholds the Panel's finding, in paragh 7.187 of the US Panel Report, that dissimilar taxation imposed by the Philippine excise tax on imported distilled spirits and on directly competitive orbatitutable domestic spirits is applied "so as to afford protection" to Philippe production of distilled spirits; and

Signed in the original in Genet/hais 7th day of December 2011 by:	
Peter Van den Bossche	
Presiding Member	
Jennifer Hillman	Ricardo Ramírez-Hernández
Member	Member