ANNEX 2

O

considered" in an injury analysis⁴; and that the context provided by Article VI:6(a) of the *General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994* ("GATT 1994") and Article 3.3 of the *Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994* ("AD Agreement") does not support the use of cross-cumulation.⁵ The United States respectfully requests that the Appellate Body reverse the Panel's findings and conclude that 19 U.S.C. §1677(7)(G) is not inconsistent with Articles 15.3 and 15.1, 15.2, 15.4, and 15.5 of the SCM Agreement "as such" or as applied in the original investigation at issue in this dispute.

(3) The United States also seeks review of the Panel's conclusion that 19 U.S.C. \$1677(7)(G) "requires, in certain circumstances, the [U.S. International Trade