WORKSHOP ON DIFFERENTIAL PRICING AND FINANCING OF ESSENTIAL DRUGS

Høsbjør 8-11 April 2001

Sigrun Møgedal, State Secretary International development

We are here for three days of essential and urgent business. We are here to talk about drugs.

Access to drugs, pricing of drugs and financing of drugs.

Many stakeholders are keeping an eye on this meeting and will be debating its outcome. The drug issue puts our global and national *economic systems* as well as our *health systems* to the test. It puts international solidarity to the test. And it means life or death for millions of people.

Universal access to essential drugs is far from a new debate. Neither is the stakeholder dialogue on these issues new, nor are the heated arguments and divergent views of interest groups new.

It is the context and the shared understanding of urgency that is new, bringing a new sense of commitment to find acceptable and viable solutions. This is why a lot of people look to this meeting and the process it represents with hope and expectation.

Access to drugs carry the features of what we now refer to as *global public goods*. Yet, drugs are largely manufactured and marketed as *global private goods*, through large multinational

Nations are living with AIDS. While at the same time having to face the challenges of building democracies and institutions with capacity to cope with the regular demands of national development.

I just returned from Malawi. The plan for an essential health package costing about US\$ 8-9 pr. head there is ambitious in terms of access and equity. But even with donor resources it is at this stage only half way financed. Without anti-retroviral therapy and without sufficient staff resources to provide care. Their priorities in facing the AIDS epidemic are clear: Protecting the children and the young people from infection has to come first. There are obviously no universal prescriptions in the hard choices that individual countries face in shaping public policy. All governments face similar dilemmas of priority setting for and rationing of public resources. This also applies to actors in international development. Propoor-policies and pro-poor-spending must be our shared priority agenda.

The AIDS pandemic is extraordinary and cannot be met with a single set of responses. This is also why it gives us an extraordinary push forward to deal with the systemic aspects of poverty and its challenge to global and national governance. It challenges deficiencies in financing for development, both in terms of private and public resources, nationally and internationally.

The drug debate on anti-retroviral drugs is also important in the way it highlights the drugquestions specifically, such as the need for

- more accountability regarding the pricing of drugs, including research, production and marketing costs and profits
- transparent principles for price differentiation
- sufficient quality control and independence in governing a global public good
- dependable public/private partnerships in dealing with these issues, nationally and internationally

All these issues imply hard policy choices and definite change of behaviour for all stakeholders involved. And they apply to all essential drugs, not only the anti-retrovirals.

The Asolu in tthout asystemralmocreminstrmie0sfirmit bot infecaese is holders involreriptbote T* -0.0395 T